

Critical Exponents of Quasilinear Parabolic Equations

Yuan-Wei Qi

*Department of Mathematics, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology,
Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong*

and

Ming-Xing Wang

*Department of Applied Mathematics, Southeast University,
Nanjing 210018, People's Republic of China*

Submitted by Chia Ven Pao

Received March 30, 2000

In this paper we study the critical exponents of the Cauchy problem in \mathbf{R}^n of the quasilinear singular parabolic equations: $u_t = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{m-1} \nabla u) + t^s |x|^\sigma u^p$, with non-negative initial data. Here $s \geq 0$, $(n-1)/(n+1) < m < 1$, $p > 1$ and $\sigma > n(1-m) - (1+m+2s)$. We prove that $p_c \equiv m + (1+m+2s+\sigma)/n > 1$ is the critical exponent. That is, if $1 < p \leq p_c$ then every non-trivial solution blows up in finite time, but for $p > p_c$, a small positive global solution exists. © 2002 Elsevier Science (USA)

Key Words: quasilinear parabolic equations; critical exponents.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of blow-up for nonlinear parabolic equations probably originates from Fujita [7, 8], where he studied the following Cauchy problem of semilinear heat equation

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= \Delta u + u^p, & x \in \mathbf{R}^n, & \quad t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x) \geq 0, & x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where $p > 1$ and obtained the following result:

(a) If $1 < p < 1 + 2/n$, then every non-trivial solution of (1.1) blows up in finite time;

(b) If $p > 1 + 2/n$ and $u_0(x) \leq \delta e^{-|x|^2}$ for some $0 < \delta \ll 1$, then (1.1) admits a global solution.

For the critical case $p = 1 + 2/n$, it was shown by Hayakawa [11] for dimensions $n = 1, 2$, Kobayashi *et al.* [13], and Aronson and Weinberger [2] for all $n \geq 1$ that (1.1) possesses no global solution $u(x, t)$ satisfying

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_\infty < \infty \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.$$

Weissler [26] proved that if $p = 1 + 2/n$, then (1.1) possesses no global solution $u(x, t)$ satisfying

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_q < +\infty \quad \text{for } t \geq 0 \quad \text{and some } q \in [1, +\infty).$$

The value $p_c = 1 + 2/n$ is called the critical exponent of (1.1). It plays an important role in studying the behavior of the solution to (1.1).

These elegant works revealed a new phenomenon of nonlinear PDEs and stimulated the study of similar features for various nonlinear evolution equations. Especially, the following Cauchy problems of porous medium equations

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= \Delta u^m + t^s |x|^\sigma u^p, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \quad t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x) \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

were studied by many authors [3, 10, 14–20, 23, 25], where $m > (n-2)_+/n$, $s \geq 0$, and $\sigma > -1$ if $n = 1$ or $\sigma > -2$ if $n \geq 2$ and $p > \max\{m, 1\}$. Recently, Qi [23] proved the following: If $p_c \triangleq m + (m-1)s + (2 + \sigma + 2s)/n > 1$ then p_c is the critical exponent of (1.2); i.e., when $1 < p \leq p_c$ every non-trivial solution of (1.2) blows up in finite time, and when $p > p_c$, (1.2) admits small positive global solution.

The following Cauchy problems of the quasilinear degenerate parabolic equation

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{m-1} \nabla u) + u^p, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \quad t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x) \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

with $m > 1$, were studied by the authors of [9, 10, 20, 22]. They obtained that $p_c \triangleq m + (1+m)/n$ is the critical exponent of (1.3) and p_c belongs to the blow-up case ([10, 20]).

In this paper, we shall consider the following general quasilinear “singular” parabolic equations

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{m-1} \nabla u) + t^s |x|^\sigma u^p, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \quad t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x) \geq, \neq 0, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{I})$$

where $(n-1)/(n+1) < m < 1$, $s \geq 0$, $p > 1$ and $\sigma > n(1-m) - (1+m+2s)$, $u_0(x)$ is a continuous function in \mathbf{R}^n .

By a solution of (I) we mean a continuous function $u : \mathbf{R}^n \times (0, T) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$ with $Du \in L^1_{loc}(0, T; L^1_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^n))$, and Eq. (I) is satisfied in the sense of distribution in $\mathbf{R}^n \times (0, T)$, where $T > 0$ is the maximal existence time. Since $m > (n-1)/(n+1)$ and $u_0(x)$ are non-negative and continuous functions in \mathbf{R}^n , the existence, uniqueness, and comparison principle of solutions to (I) had been proved in [5]. Moreover, the following result holds:

PROPOSITION 1. *If $u_0(x)$ is a non-trivial and non-negative continuous function, then the solution $u(x, t)$ of (I) is positive in \mathbf{R}^n for any $t > 0$, $Du \in C^\alpha_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^n \times (0, T))$ for some $0 < \alpha < 1$.*

The main purpose of the present paper is to find the critical exponent of (I) and prove that every non-trivial solution blows up in finite time if $p > 1$ is less than or equal to the critical exponent. In this context, we say that $u(x, t)$ blows up in finite time $T > 0$ if

$$w(t) = \int_{\Omega} u(x, t) dx \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow T^-$$

for a finite $T > 0$, where Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbf{R}^n . It follows easily from Proposition 1 that this definition is the same as that of Friedman and McLeod [6].

Our main result reads as follows:

THEOREM 1. *Assume that $(n-1)/(n+1) < m < 1$, $s \geq 0$, $p > 1$ and $\sigma > n(1-m) - (1+m+2s)$. Then $p_c = m + (1+m+2s+\sigma)/n > 1$ is the critical exponent of (I). That is, if $1 < p \leq p_c$ then every non-trivial solution of (I) blows up in finite time; if $p > p_c$ then (I) has small non-trivial global solutions.*

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we discuss the qualitative behaviors and give some estimates of solutions to the homogeneous problem

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{m-1} \nabla u), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \quad t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x) \geq, \neq 0, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n. \end{aligned} \tag{II}$$

In Section 3, for convenience, we first discuss the special case of (I): $s = 0$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{m-1} \nabla u) + |x|^\sigma u^p, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \quad t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x) \geq, \neq 0, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \end{aligned} \tag{III}$$

and prove that if $1 < p \leq \tilde{p}_c \triangleq m + (1+m+\sigma)/n$ then every non-trivial solution of (III) blows up in finite time. In Section 4 we prove Theorem 1.

Our method is similar in nature to that in [23].

Remark. We end this section with a simple but very useful reduction. Since, by Proposition 1, the solution $u(x, t)$ of (I) is continuous and positive in \mathbf{R}^n for any $t > 0$, we may assume, without loss of generality, that $u_0(x)$ is continuous and positive in \mathbf{R}^n . By the comparison principle we need only consider that $u_0(x)$ is radially symmetric and non-increasing; i.e., $u_0(x) = u_0(r)$ with $r = |x|$ and $u_0(r)$ non-increasing in r . Therefore, the solution $u(x, t)$ of (I) is also radially symmetric and non-increasing in $r = |x|$.

2. ESTIMATES OF SOLUTIONS TO (II)

In this section we discuss (II) for the radially symmetric case. The main results are the two propositions.

PROPOSITION 2. *Assume that $(n-1)/(n+1) < m < 1$ and $u_0(x)$ is a non-trivial and non-negative continuous function. If, in addition, $u_0(x)$ is a radially symmetric and non-increasing function, then the solution $u(x, t)$ of (II) satisfies*

$$u_t \geq -\frac{\alpha}{t}u \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbf{R}^n \quad \text{and } t > 0, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\alpha = n/[1 + m - n(1 - m)] > 0$.

PROPOSITION 3. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 2,*

$$u(x, t) \geq \delta(t - \varepsilon)^{-\alpha}(1 + C|x|^{(1+m)/m}(t - \varepsilon)^{-\beta})^{-m/(1-m)} \quad (2.2)$$

for $|x| \geq 1, t > \varepsilon > 0$, where δ and C are positive constants and $\beta = (1 + m)/[m(1 + m - n(1 - m))]$.

Proof of Proposition 2. By the uniqueness we know that $u(x, t) = u(r, t)$ is radially symmetric and non-increasing in $r, r = |x|$. Let

$$v = \frac{m}{m-1}u^{(m-1)/m},$$

then we have $v < 0, v' < 0$ (where $v' = \frac{\partial v}{\partial r}$) and

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{m-1}\nabla u) &= u \operatorname{div}(|\nabla v|^{m-1}\nabla v) + u^{-1}|\nabla u|^{m+1} \\ &\geq u \operatorname{div}(|\nabla v|^{m-1}\nabla v), \\ v_t &= \frac{m-1}{m}v \operatorname{div}(|\nabla v|^{m-1}\nabla v) + |\nabla v|^{m+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

To prove (2.1) it is sufficient to prove that $\operatorname{div}(|\nabla v|^{m-1}\nabla v) \geq -\alpha/t$ by (2.3). Denote $w = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla v|^{m-1}\nabla v)$ and let $z = -v$, we find $z' > 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} z_t &= -\frac{m-1}{m}z \operatorname{div}(|\nabla z|^{m-1}\nabla z) - |\nabla z|^{m+1} \\ &= -\frac{m-1}{m}z \left(m(z')^{m-1}z'' + \frac{n-1}{r}(z')^m \right) - (z')^{m+1} \\ &= \frac{m-1}{m}zw - (z')^{m+1}, \\ w &= -\left(m(z')^{m-1}z'' + \frac{n-1}{r}(z')^m \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

By direct computation we have

$$\begin{aligned} -w_t &= m(z')^{m-1}z'_t + m(m-1)(z')^{m-2}z'_tz'' + m\frac{n-1}{r}(z')^{m-1}z'_t, \\ z'_t &= \frac{m-1}{m}z'w + \frac{m-1}{m}zw' - (m+1)(z')^mz'', \\ z''_t &= \frac{m-1}{m}z''w + 2\frac{m-1}{m}z'w' + \frac{m-1}{m}zw'' \\ &\quad - (m+1)(z')^mz''' - m(m+1)(z')^{m-1}(z'')^2. \end{aligned}$$

By a series of calculations we have

$$\begin{aligned} -w_t &= (m-1)z(z')^{m-1}\Delta w + m(m+1)(z')^{m-1}z''w \\ &\quad + (1-m)w^2 + 2(m-1)(z')^mw' + (m-1)^2zz''(z')^{m-2}w' \\ &\quad + m(1-m^2)(z')^{2m-2}(z'')^2 - m(m+1)(z')^{2m-1}z'''. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

It follows from (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} -w' &= m(z')^{m-1}z''' + m(m-1)(z')^{m-2}(z'')^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{n-1}{r^2}(z')^m + m\frac{n-1}{r}(z')^{m-1}z''. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above into (2.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} -w_t &= (m-1)a(r, t)\Delta w + b(r, t)w' + (1-m)w^2 + m(m+1)z''(z')^{m-1}w \\ &\quad + m(m+1)\frac{n-1}{r}(z')^{2m-1}z'' - (m+1)\frac{n-1}{r^2}(z')^{2m}, \end{aligned}$$

where $a(r, t), b(r, t)$ are functions produced by $z(r, t)$ and $a(r, t) > 0$. By use of (2.4),

$$\begin{aligned} -w_t &= (m-1)a(r, t)\Delta w + b(r, t)w' - 2mw^2 \\ &\quad - 2(m+1)\frac{n-1}{r}(z')^mw - n(m+1)\frac{n-1}{r^2}(z')^{2m}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account the Cauchy inequality

$$-2(z')^m w \leq (z')^{2m} + w^2,$$

we find

$$\begin{aligned} -w_t &\leq (m-1)a(r, t)\Delta w + b(r, t)w' - 2mw^2 + (m+1)\frac{n-1}{n}w^2 \\ &= (m-1)a(r, t)\Delta w + b(r, t)w' - \frac{1+m-n(1-m)}{n}w^2; \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$w_t \geq (1-m)a(r, t)\Delta w - b(r, t)w' + \frac{1}{\alpha}w^2.$$

Let $y(r, t) = -\alpha/t$. It is obvious that $y_t = (1-m)a(r, t)\Delta y - b(r, t)y' + (1/\alpha)y^2$. Since $y(r, 0) = -\infty$, it follows by the comparison principle that $w \geq -\alpha/t$; i.e., $\operatorname{div}(|\nabla v|^{m-1}\nabla v) \geq -\alpha/t$. ■

Remark. The above proof is similar to an argument of Aronson and Benilan [1] of the porous media equation $u_t = \Delta u^m$. But, it seems to us that there is no direct way of transforming their result to the present case.

To prove Proposition 3 we first state a comparison lemma which can be proved by using the methods of [5, Chap. 6] or [12].

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $0 \leq \tau < +\infty$ and $S = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n, |x| > 1\} \times [\tau, +\infty)$. Assume that v, w are non-negative functions and satisfy*

$$v_t = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla v|^{m-1}\nabla v), \quad w_t = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla w|^{m-1}\nabla w) \quad \text{in } S,$$

$$v(x, t) \leq w(x, t), \quad |x| = 1, \quad \tau < t < +\infty,$$

$$v(x, \tau) \leq w(x, \tau), \quad |x| \geq 1.$$

Then

$$v(x, t) \leq w(x, t) \quad \text{in } S.$$

Proof of Proposition 3. Since $(n-1)/(n+1) < m < 1$, by the results of [24] we have that problem (II) has the similarity solutions

$$U_\mu(x, t) = \mu^\theta U(\mu x, t), \quad \theta = (1+m)/(1-m),$$

where $\mu > 0$ is a parameter,

$$U(x, t) = U_1(x, t) = t^{-\alpha} [1 + b|x|^{(1+m)/m} t^{-\beta}]^{-m/(1-m)},$$

β is given in the statement Proposition 3, and $b = \frac{1-m}{1+m}(1+m-n(1-m))^{-1/m}$. Since $U(x, t)$ can be written as

$$U(x, t) = t^{-\alpha+m\beta/(1-m)} [t^\beta + b|x|^{(1+m)/m}]^{-m/(1-m)},$$

and $-\alpha + m\beta/(1-m) = 1/(1-m) > 0$, we see that

$$U_\mu(x, t - \varepsilon) = 0 \quad \text{for } |x| \geq 1, \quad t = \varepsilon, \quad \text{where } 0 < \varepsilon \ll 1. \quad (2.6)$$

By Proposition 2,

$$u(1, t) \geq \varepsilon^\alpha u(1, \varepsilon) t^{-\alpha} \quad \text{for all } t \geq \varepsilon. \quad (2.7)$$

Now we estimate $U_\mu(1, t - \varepsilon)$. When $t/(t - \varepsilon) \geq K > 1$, it follows that $t \leq K\varepsilon/(K - 1)$, $t - \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon/(K - 1)$. Therefore,

$$u(1, t) \geq \varepsilon^\alpha u(1, \varepsilon) t^{-\alpha} \geq (K/(K - 1))^{-\alpha} u(1, \varepsilon), \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} U_\mu(1, t - \varepsilon) &= \mu^\theta (t - \varepsilon)^{-\alpha + m\beta/(1-m)} ((t - \varepsilon)^\beta + b\mu^{(1+m)/m})^{-m/(1-m)} \\ &\leq \mu^\theta (t - \varepsilon)^{-\alpha + m\beta/(1-m)} b^{-m/(1-m)} \mu^{-\theta} \\ &= b^{-m/(1-m)} (t - \varepsilon)^{1/(1-m)} \\ &\leq b^{-m/(1-m)} \varepsilon^{1/(1-m)} (K - 1)^{-1/(1-m)} \\ &\leq (K/(K - 1))^{-\alpha} u(1, \varepsilon) \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

if K is suitably large, say $K \geq K_0$, for some $K_0 \gg 1$ independent of μ .

When $t/(t - \varepsilon) \leq K$,

$$\begin{aligned} U_\mu(1, t - \varepsilon) &= \mu^\theta (t - \varepsilon)^{-\alpha} [1 + b\mu^{(1+m)/m} (t - \varepsilon)^{-\beta}]^{-m/(1-m)} \\ &\leq \mu^\theta (t - \varepsilon)^{-\alpha} \leq \mu^\theta K^\alpha t^{-\alpha} \\ &\leq \varepsilon^\alpha u(1, \varepsilon) t^{-\alpha} \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

if $\mu > 0$ is suitably small. Combining (2.7)–(2.10) we see that

$$U_\mu(1, t - \varepsilon) \leq u(1, t) \quad \text{for all } t \geq \varepsilon. \quad (2.11)$$

Equations (2.6) and (2.11), when combined with Lemma 2.1, yield

$$u(x, t) \geq U_\mu(x, t - \varepsilon) \quad \text{for all } |x| \geq 1 \quad \text{and } t \geq \varepsilon.$$

Consequently (2.2) holds. ■

3. THE SPECIAL CASE $s = 0, 1 < p \leq \tilde{p}_c$

In this section we study problem (III) and prove a blow-up result.

THEOREM 2. *Let m, p , and σ be as in Theorem 1. If $1 < p \leq \tilde{p}_c = m + (m + 1 + \sigma)/n$, then every non-trivial solution of (III) blows up in finite time.*

Let $\psi(x)$ be a smooth, radially symmetric and non-increasing function which satisfies

$$0 \leq \psi(x) \leq 1, \psi(x) \equiv 1 \quad \text{for } |x| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(x) \equiv 0 \quad \text{for } |x| \geq 2.$$

Let $\psi_0(x)$ be a smooth radially symmetric and non-decreasing function which satisfies

$$0 \leq \psi_0(x) \leq 1, \psi_0(x) \equiv 0 \quad \text{for } |x| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_0(x) \equiv 1 \quad \text{for } |x| \geq 2.$$

Set $\psi_\ell(x) = \psi(x/\ell)$. It follows that for $\ell \geq 1$, $\psi_\ell(x)$ is a smooth, radially symmetric, and non-increasing function which satisfies

$$0 \leq \psi_\ell(x) \leq 1, \psi_\ell(x) \equiv 1 \quad \text{for } |x| \leq \ell \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_\ell(x) \equiv 0 \quad \text{for } |x| \geq 2\ell.$$

Denote $\phi_\ell(x) = \psi_0(x)\psi_\ell(x)$. Then $\phi_\ell(x)$ is a smooth and radially symmetric function and satisfies for $\ell > 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \phi_\ell(x) \leq 1, \phi_\ell(x) \equiv 0 & \quad \text{for } |x| \leq 1, \\ \phi_\ell(x) \equiv 1 & \text{ for } 2 \leq |x| \leq \ell \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_\ell(x) \equiv 0 \quad \text{for } |x| \geq 2\ell. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $\phi_\ell(x)$ is non-decreasing for $1 \leq |x| \leq 2$ and non-increasing for $\ell \leq |x| \leq 2\ell$.

Denote

$$w_\ell(t) = \int_{\Omega} u(x, t) \phi_\ell(x) dx,$$

where $\Omega = \mathbf{R}^n \setminus B_{1/2}$ with $B_{1/2}$ being the ball with radial $1/2$ and center at the origin. By the remark at the end of Section 1, we may assume, without loss of generality, u is radially symmetric. Then w_ℓ is an increasing function of ℓ and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u \phi_\ell dx &= - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{m-1} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi_\ell + \int_{\Omega} |x|^\sigma \phi_\ell(x) u^p dx \\ &= -\omega_n \int_1^{2\ell} |u'|^{m-1} u' \phi'_\ell r^{n-1} dr + \int_{\Omega} |x|^\sigma \phi_\ell(x) u^p dx \\ &= \omega_n \int_1^{2\ell} |u'|^m \phi'_\ell r^{n-1} dr + \int_{\Omega} |x|^\sigma \phi_\ell(x) u^p dx \\ &\geq -\omega_n \int_1^{2\ell} |u'|^m |\phi'_\ell| r^{n-1} dr + \int_{\Omega} |x|^\sigma \phi_\ell(x) u^p dx, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where ω_n is the area of the unit sphere in \mathbf{R}^n .

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^{2\ell} |u'|^m |\phi'_\ell| r^{n-1} dr &= \int_1^{2\ell} r^{m(n-1)} |u'|^m |\phi'_\ell|^m |\phi'_\ell|^{1-m} r^{(1-m)(n-1)} dr \\ &\leq \left(\int_1^{2\ell} r^{n-1} |u'| |\phi'_\ell| dr \right)^m \left(\int_1^{2\ell} |\phi'| r^{n-1} dr \right)^{1-m}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^{2\ell} r^{n-1} |u'| |\phi'_\ell| &= \int_1^2 r^{n-1} |u'| |\phi'_\ell| dr + \int_\ell^{2\ell} r^{n-1} |u'| |\phi'_\ell| dr \\ &= - \int_1^2 r^{n-1} u' \phi'_\ell dr + \int_\ell^{2\ell} r^{n-1} u' \phi'_\ell dr \\ &= - \frac{1}{\omega_n} \int_{\Omega_1} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi_\ell dx + \frac{1}{\omega_n} \int_{\Omega_2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi_\ell dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\omega_n} \int_{\Omega_1} u \Delta \phi_\ell dx - \frac{1}{\omega_n} \int_{\Omega_2} u \Delta \phi_\ell dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\omega_n} \int_\Omega |u \Delta \phi_\ell| dx, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where $\Omega_1 = \{x \mid 1 \leq |x| \leq 2\}$, $\Omega_2 = \{x \mid \ell \leq |x| \leq 2\ell\}$.

$$\int_1^{2\ell} r^{n-1} |\phi'_\ell| dr = \int_{1/\ell}^2 \ell^{n-1} r^{n-1} |\phi'| dr \leq C \ell^{n-1}, \quad (3.4)$$

where $\phi(x) = \psi(x)\psi_0(x)$. It is easy to see that C is independent of ℓ . Substituting (3.2)–(3.4) into (3.1) we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega u \phi_\ell dx \geq -C \ell^{(1-m)(n-1)} \left(\int_\Omega u |\Delta \phi_\ell| dx \right)^m + \int_\Omega |x|^\sigma \phi_\ell u^p dx. \quad (3.5)$$

By use of the Hölder inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega u |\Delta \phi_\ell| dx &\leq \left(\int_\Omega |x|^{-(k-1)\sigma} |\Delta \phi_\ell|^k \phi_\ell^{-(k-1)} dx \right)^{1/k} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_\Omega |x|^\sigma \phi_\ell u^p dx \right)^{1/p}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where $k = p/(p-1)$. Thus, we have by (3.5)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega u \phi_\ell dx &\geq -C \ell^{(1-m)(n-1)} C(\phi_\ell, \ell)^{m/k} \left(\int_\Omega |x|^\sigma \phi_\ell u^p dx \right)^{m/p} \\ &\quad + \int_\Omega |x|^\sigma \phi_\ell u^p dx, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$C(\phi_\ell, \ell) = \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-\sigma/(p-1)} |\Delta \phi_\ell|^{p/(p-1)} \phi_\ell^{-1/(p-1)} dx \leq C \ell^{[(p-1)n - (\sigma+2p)]/(p-1)}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u \phi_\ell dx &\geq \left\{ -C \ell^{(n-m-1)-m(n+\sigma)/p} + \left(\int_{\Omega} |x|^\sigma \phi_\ell u^p dx \right)^{(p-m)/p} \right\} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{\Omega} |x|^\sigma \phi_\ell u^p dx \right)^{m/p}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

By Hölder's inequality we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |x|^\sigma \phi_\ell u^p dx \geq \left(\int_{\Omega} u \phi_\ell dx \right)^p \left(\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-\sigma/(p-1)} \phi_\ell dx \right)^{-(p-1)}.$$

Hence

$$\int_{\Omega} |x|^\sigma \phi_\ell u^p dx \geq \begin{cases} C w_\ell^p \ell^{\sigma-n(p-1)} & \text{if } \sigma < n(p-1), \\ C w_\ell^p (\log \ell)^{-(p-1)} & \text{if } \sigma = n(p-1), \\ C w_\ell^p & \text{if } \sigma > n(p-1). \end{cases} \quad (3.9)$$

Next, we quote a result from [23].

LEMMA 3.1 [23]. *Let $w(t)$ be a positive continuous function which satisfies the inequality*

$$\frac{dw}{dt} \geq C w^q$$

in the distributional sense, where $C > 0$ is a constant and $q > 1$. Then $w(t)$ is an increasing function, and there exists a finite $T > 0$ such that $w(t) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $t \rightarrow T^-$.

Proof of Theorem 2. First we consider the case $\sigma < n(p-1)$. It follows from (3.8) and (3.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dw_\ell}{dt} &\geq \left\{ -C_1 \ell^{(n-m-1)-m(n+\sigma)/p} + C_2 w_\ell^{p-m}(t) \ell^{[\sigma-n(p-1)](p-m)/p} \right\} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{\Omega} |x|^\sigma \phi_\ell u^p dx \right)^{m/p}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

(a) $p < \tilde{p}_c = m + (m+1+\sigma)/n$.

Under this assumption, $[\sigma - n(p-1)](p-m)/p > n-m-1-m(n+\sigma)/p$, and consequently

$$\frac{\ell^{[\sigma-n(p-1)](p-m)/p}}{\ell^{(n-m-1)-m(n+\sigma)/p}} \longrightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } \ell \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (3.11)$$

Using the fact that w_ℓ is an increasing function of ℓ , we find from (3.10) and (3.11) that there exists $\ell \gg 1$ and $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\frac{dw_\ell}{dt} \geq \delta w_\ell^p(t) \ell^{\sigma-n(p-1)} \quad \text{for all } t > 0.$$

Thus w_ℓ , and consequently u , blows up in finite time by Lemma 3.1.

(b) $p = \tilde{p}_c = m + (m + 1 + \sigma)/n$.

In this case $[\sigma - n(p - 1)](p - m)/p = n - m - 1 - m(n + \sigma)/p$. If we can prove that for any $M > 0$, there exists $l > 0$ and $t > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} u(x, t) \phi_\ell(x) dx > M,$$

then it can be shown just as in the above case that w_ℓ , and hence u , blows up in finite time. Otherwise, $u(\cdot, t) \in L^1(\Omega)$ for all $t > 0$ and there exists an $M_0 > 0$ such that

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq M_0 \quad \text{for all } t > 0. \quad (3.12)$$

We prove (3.12) is impossible. Suppose the contrary; it is clear from (3.6) that if $\int_{\Omega} |x|^\sigma u^p dx < +\infty$ then

$$\int_{\Omega} u |\Delta \phi_\ell| dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \ell^{(1-m)(n-1)} \left(\int_{\Omega} u |\Delta \phi_\ell| dx \right)^m \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \ell \rightarrow +\infty,$$

because $n - m - 1 - m(n + \sigma)/p < 0$. By (3.5) we get $w'_\ell(t) \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |x|^\sigma \times \phi_\ell u^p dx$. If $\int_{\Omega} |x|^\sigma u^p dx = +\infty$, then $w'_\ell(t) \geq 1$ by (3.7). Hence

$$w'_\ell(t) \geq \kappa_\ell(t) \triangleq \min \left\{ 1, \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |x|^\sigma \phi_\ell u^p dx \right\}, \quad \ell \gg 1.$$

Upon integration we have

$$w_\ell(t) - w_\ell(0) \geq \int_0^t \kappa_\ell(\tau) d\tau.$$

Let $w(t) = \int_{\Omega} \psi_0(x) u(x, t) dx$, and take $\ell \rightarrow +\infty$ in the above inequality to obtain

$$w(t) - w(0) \geq \int_0^t \kappa(\tau) d\tau, \quad (3.13)$$

where $\kappa(t) = \min \{ 1, \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |x|^\sigma \psi_0(x) u^p dx \}$.

Using (2.2), by direct computation we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |x|^\sigma \psi_0(x) u^p dx &\geq \delta^p (t - \varepsilon)^{-1} \int_{|y| \geq (t - \varepsilon)^{-\theta}} |y|^\sigma \psi_0(y (t - \varepsilon)^\theta) \\ &\quad \times (1 + C|y|^{(1+m)/m})^{-mp/(1-m)} dy \\ &\geq C(t - \varepsilon)^{-1} \quad \text{as } t \gg 1, \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

where $\theta = 1/[1 + m - n(1 - m)] > 0$. In view of (3.13) and (3.14) it yields

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} w(t) = +\infty; \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} \psi_0(x) u(x, t) dx = +\infty.$$

Since $\psi_0(x) \leq 1$, this shows that (3.12) is impossible. And hence $u(x, t)$ blows up in finite time.

Next, we consider the case $\sigma \geq n(p - 1)$. Since $m > (n - 1)/(n + 1)$, it follows that $n - m - 1 - m(n + \sigma)/p < 0$.

For the case $\sigma = n(p - 1)$, combining (3.8) and (3.9), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dw_{\ell}}{dt} \geq & \left\{ -C\ell^{(n-m-1)-m(n+\sigma)/p} + Cw_{\ell}^{p-m}(\log \ell)^{(m-p)(p-1)/p} \right\} \\ & \times \left(\int_{\Omega} |x|^{\sigma} \phi_{\ell} u^p dx \right)^{m/p}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Using the fact that w_{ℓ} is an increasing function of ℓ , we find from (3.15) that there exist $\ell \gg 1$ and $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\frac{dw_{\ell}}{dt} \geq \delta(\log \ell)^{1-p} w_{\ell}^p(t) \quad \text{for } t > 1.$$

Thus w_{ℓ} , and consequently u , blows up in finite time.

The case of $\sigma > n(p - 1)$ can be handled similarly using the third inequality of (3.9). This completes the proof of Theorem 2. ■

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

In this section we shall prove Theorem 1 for the general case (I).

When $1 < p \leq p_c = m + (m + 1 + 2s + \sigma)/n$, using the methods similar to those of the last section and [23], it can be proved that every non-trivial solution of (I) blows up in finite time. We omit the details.

When $p > p_c$, we shall prove that (I) has global positive solutions for small initial data. By the comparison principle, it is enough to prove this conclusion for the following problem (since $s \geq 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{m-1} \nabla u) + (1 + t)^s |x|^{\sigma} u^p, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \quad t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x) \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

where the constants m, s, σ , and p are as in problem (I). We shall show the existence of global solutions of (4.1) by constructing global similarity solutions. They take the form

$$u(x, t) = (1 + t)^{-\alpha} w(r) \quad \text{with} \quad r = |x|(1 + t)^{-\beta},$$

where $\alpha = [(1+m)(1+s) + \sigma]/K$, $\beta = [p-1 + (1-m)(1+s)]/K$, $K = (p-1)(1+m) - \sigma(1-m)$. It is easy to verify that α , β , and K satisfy the following relations:

$$m(\alpha + \beta) + \beta = \alpha + 1 = p\alpha - \beta\sigma - s, \quad K > 0.$$

The resulting ODE for w is

$$m|w'|^{m-1}w'' + \frac{n-1}{r}|w'|^{m-1}w' + \alpha w + \beta r w' + r^\sigma w^p = 0, \quad r > 0, \quad (4.2)$$

$$w(0) = \eta > 0, |w'|^{m-1}w'(0) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} (-r^{\sigma+1}w^p(r)/(n+\sigma)).$$

We observe that a function $\bar{u}(x, t) = (1+t)^{-\alpha}v(|x|(1+t)^{-\beta})$ is an upper solution of (4.1) if and only if $v(r)$ satisfies the inequality

$$m|v'|^{m-1}v'' + \frac{n-1}{r}|v'|^{m-1}v' + \alpha v + \beta r v' + r^\sigma v^p \leq 0, \quad r > 0. \quad (4.3)$$

We first discuss the case $\sigma \geq 0$. In this case, we try to find an upper solution of (4.1), i.e., the solution of (4.3). Let

$$v(r) = \varepsilon(1 + br^k)^{-q},$$

where $k = (1+m)/m$, $q = m/(1-m)$, and ε and b are positive constants to be determined later. By direct computation we have

$$v' = -\varepsilon q b k r^{k-1}(1 + br^k)^{-q-1},$$

$$v'' = \varepsilon q(q+1)b^2 k^2 r^{2k-2}(1 + br^k)^{-q-2} - \varepsilon q b k k(k-1)r^{k-2}(1 + br^k)^{-q-1}.$$

$v(r)$ satisfies (4.3) if and only if

$$\varepsilon q b k [\varepsilon^{m-1}(q b k)^m - \beta] r^k (1 + b r^k)^{-q-1} + \varepsilon(\alpha - n \varepsilon^{m-1}(q b k)^m)(1 + b r^k)^{-q} + \varepsilon^p r^\sigma (1 + b r^k)^{-pq} \leq 0. \quad (4.4)$$

By $p > p_c$ we find $\sigma + q(1-p)k = \sigma + (1-p)(1+m)/(1-m) < 0$. It follows that there exists $a > 0$ such that

$$r^\sigma (1 + b r^k)^{q(1-p)} \leq a \quad \text{for all } r \geq 0 \quad (4.5)$$

since $\sigma \geq 0$. Choose $b = b(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$\varepsilon^{m-1}(q b k)^m = \beta; \text{ i.e., } b = \beta^{1/m} \varepsilon^{(1-m)/m} (q k)^{-1}.$$

For this choice of b , (4.4) is equivalent to

$$\alpha - n\beta + \varepsilon^{p-1} r^\sigma (1 + b r^k)^{q-pq} \leq 0. \quad (4.6)$$

By (4.5) we see that (4.6) is true if the following inequality holds

$$\alpha - n\beta + a \varepsilon^{p-1} \leq 0. \quad (4.7)$$

In view of $p > p_c = m + (m + 1 + 2s + \sigma)/n$ it follows that $\alpha < n\beta$. Hence, there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that (4.7) holds for all $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$. These arguments show that $v(r) = \varepsilon(1 + b(\varepsilon)r^k)^{-q}$ satisfies (4.3) for all $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$. Using the comparison principle we get that the solution $u(x, t)$ of (4.1) exists globally provided that $u(x, 0) \leq v(|x|)$. And hence, so does the solution of (I).

Next, we consider the case $\sigma < 0$. For this case, it will be proved that (4.2) has a ground state for small η . By a standard argument we can prove that for any given $\eta > 0$, there exists a unique solution w of (4.2), which is twice continuously differentiable where $w'(r) \neq 0$; see [21, 22]. Denote $R(\eta)$ the maximum of R for which $w(r) > 0$ in $[0, R)$. So, $0 < R(\eta) \leq +\infty$, and $w(R(\eta)) = 0$ when $R(\eta) < +\infty$.

We divide the proof into several lemmas.

LEMMA 4.1. *The solution $w(r)$ of (4.2) satisfies $w'(r) < 0$ in $(0, R(\eta))$. In addition, if $R(\eta) = +\infty$ then $w(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$.*

Proof. We first prove $w'(r) < 0$ for $0 < r < R(\eta)$. When $\sigma + 1 \leq 0$, we have that $|w'|^{m-1}w'(0) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} (-r^{\sigma+1}w^p(r)/(n + \sigma)) < 0$. Therefore $w'(r) < 0$ for $r \ll 1$. If there exists $r_0 : 0 < r_0 < R(\eta)$ such that $w'(r) < 0$ in $(0, r_0)$ and $w'(r_0) = 0$, then $(|w'|^{m-1}w')'(r_0) \geq 0$. But, by Eq. (4.2) we see that $(|w'|^{m-1}w')'(r_0) = -(\alpha w(r_0) + r_0^\sigma w^p(r_0)) < 0$, a contradiction.

When $\sigma + 1 > 0$, it follows that $w'(0) = 0$. Using Eq. (4.2) one has

$$n(|w'|^{m-1}w')'(0) = -\alpha w(0) - \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} r^\sigma w^p < 0.$$

Hence $|w'|^{m-1}w'(r) < 0$, and consequently $w'(r) < 0$ for $r \ll 1$. Similar to the case of $\sigma + 1 \leq 0$ it follows that $w'(r) < 0$ for all $0 < r < R(\eta)$.

If $R(\eta) = +\infty$. Since $w'(r) < 0$ and $w(r) > 0$ in $(0, +\infty)$, $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} w(r) = L$. If $L > 0$, integration of (4.2) gives

$$r^{n-1}(|w'|^{m-1}w' + \beta r w) = - \int_0^r \{(\alpha - n\beta)s^{n-1}w(s) + s^{n+\sigma-1}w^p(s)\} ds. \quad (4.8)$$

Let $r \rightarrow +\infty$ in (4.8) we find

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|w'|^{m-1}w'}{r} = -\frac{\alpha}{n}L - \frac{A}{n},$$

where $A = L^p$ when $\sigma = 0$, $A = 0$ when $\sigma < 0$, and $A = +\infty$ when $\sigma > 0$. It follows that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} w'(r) = -\infty,$$

a contradiction. Thus $w(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$. ■

LEMMA 4.2. *For any given small $\eta > 0$ there exists $R_0(\eta) > 0$, which satisfies $\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0^+} R_0(\eta) = +\infty$ and such that*

$$w(r) > 0, |w'|^{m-1}w'(r) + \beta r w(r) > 0 \quad \text{on } [2, R_0(\eta)]. \quad (4.9)$$

Proof. Let $z = \eta - w$. Then $z'(r) = -w'(r) > 0$, $0 < z(r) < \eta$ and $z(r)$ satisfies

$$((z')^m)' + \frac{n-1}{r}(z')^m + \beta r z' = \alpha(\eta - z) + r^\sigma(\eta - z)^p, \quad r > 0, \quad (4.10)$$

$$z(0) = 0, |z'|^{m-1} z'(0) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} (r^{\sigma+1}(\eta - z)^p / (n + \sigma)).$$

Integration of (4.10) gives

$$\begin{aligned} r^{n-1}(z')^m + \beta r^n z &= \int_0^r [(n\beta - \alpha)s^{n-1}z(s) + \alpha\eta s^{n-1}] ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^r s^{n+\sigma-1}(\eta - z)^p ds \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha\eta}{n} r^n + \left(\beta - \frac{\alpha}{n}\right) r^n z(r) + \frac{1}{n+\sigma} \eta^p r^{n+\sigma}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

since $p > p_c$ implies $n\beta > \alpha$. Let $R_0(\eta)$ be the first value of r , where $z(r) = \eta - \eta^{(p+1)/2}$. Then $R_0(\eta) > 0$ and $z(r) \leq \eta - \eta^{(p+1)/2} < \eta$ for all $0 < r \leq R_0(\eta)$. From (4.11) it follows that for $0 < r \leq R_0(\eta)$

$$\begin{aligned} r^{n-1}(z')^m &< \frac{\alpha\eta}{n} r^n + \left(\beta - \frac{\alpha}{n}\right) \eta r^n + \frac{1}{n+\sigma} \eta^p r^{n+\sigma} \\ &= \beta \eta r^n + \frac{1}{n+\sigma} \eta^p r^{n+\sigma}; \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$(z')^m < \beta \eta r + \frac{1}{n+\sigma} \eta^p r^{\sigma+1}.$$

Since $m < 1$, it follows that

$$z'(r) < \left(\beta \eta r + \frac{1}{n+\sigma} \eta^p r^{\sigma+1} \right)^{1/m} \leq C(\eta^{1/m} r^{1/m} + \eta^{p/m} r^{(\sigma+1)/m}).$$

Integrating this inequality from 0 to $R_0(\eta)$ we have

$$\eta \leq \eta^{(p+1)/2} + C(\eta^{1/m}(R_0(\eta))^{(1+m)/m} + \eta^{p/m}(R_0(\eta))^{(m+\sigma+1)/m}).$$

In view of $p > 1$ and $m < 1$, it is obvious that $R_0(\eta) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\eta \rightarrow 0^+$. And $z(r) \leq \eta - \eta^{(p+1)/2}$, $z(R_0(\eta)) = \eta - \eta^{(p+1)/2}$; consequently $w(r) \geq \eta^{(p+1)/2}$ and $w(R_0(\eta)) = \eta^{(p+1)/2}$, for all $0 \leq r \leq R_0(\eta)$.

Integration of (4.2) gives, for $0 \leq r \leq R_0(\eta)$,

$$\begin{aligned} r^{n-1}|w'|^{m-1}w' + \beta r^n w(r) &= \int_0^r (n\beta - \alpha)s^{n-1}w(s) ds - \int_0^r s^{n+\sigma-1}w^p(s) ds \\ &\geq (n\beta - \alpha)w(R_0(\eta)) \int_0^r s^{n-1} ds - \eta^p \int_0^r s^{n+\sigma-1} ds \\ &= \left(\beta - \frac{\alpha}{n}\right) \eta^{(p+1)/2} r^n - \frac{1}{n+\sigma} \eta^p r^{n+\sigma} \\ &= \eta^{(p+1)/2} r^n \left(\beta - \frac{\alpha}{n} - \frac{1}{n+\sigma} \eta^{(p-1)/2} r^\sigma \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sigma < 0$, $p > 1$ and $\eta \ll 1$ (note $n + \sigma > 0$, $n\beta > \alpha$), it follows that

$$r^{n-1}|w'|^{m-1}w' + \beta r^n w(r) > 0 \quad \text{for } 2 \leq r \leq R_0(\eta).$$

The proof of Lemma 4.2 is completed. ■

Now we prove that, for the case $\sigma < 0$, (4.2) has a ground state for small η . Choose $\eta_0 : \eta_0^{p-1} < n\beta - \alpha$ and such that (4.9) holds for all $0 < \eta \leq \eta_0$. Integrating (4.2) from $R_0(\eta)$ to $r(R_0(\eta) < r < R(\eta))$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} & r^{n-1}|w'|^{m-1}w' + \beta r^n w(r) \\ &= (r^{n-1}|w'|^{m-1}w' + \beta r^n w(r))|_{r=R_0(\eta)} \\ &+ (n\beta - \alpha) \int_{R_0(\eta)}^r s^{n-1} w(s) ds - \int_{R_0(\eta)}^r s^{n+\sigma-1} w^p(s) ds \\ &> \int_{R_0(\eta)}^r s^{n-1} w(s) [n\beta - \alpha - s^\sigma w^{p-1}(s)] ds \\ &\geq \int_{R_0(\eta)}^r s^{n-1} w(s) (n\beta - \alpha - \eta^{p-1}) ds > 0 \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

since $\sigma < 0$, $R_0(\eta) > 2$, and $w(s) < \eta$. In view of $w(r) > 0$ and $w'(r) < 0$ for $0 < r < R(\eta)$, it follows that $R(\eta) = +\infty$ by (4.12). Therefore (4.2) has a ground state. ■

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Qi is grateful to the support of Hong Kong RGC Grant HKUST 630/95P. Part of the paper was completed during the visit of Wang to Hong Kong University of Science and Technology. He expresses his gratitude to the support of PRC NSF Grant NSFC-19771015 and Hong Kong RGC Grant HKUST 630/95P.

REFERENCES

1. D. G. Aronson and P. Benilan, Regularite des solutions de l'equation des milieux poreux dans \mathbf{R}^n , *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. A* **288** (1979), 103–105.
2. D. G. Aronson and H. F. Weinberger, Multidimensional nonlinear diffusion arising in population genetics, *Adv. Math.* **30** (1978), 33–76.
3. C. Bandle and H. A. Levine, On the existence and non-existence of global solutions of reaction–diffusion equations in sectorial domains, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **316** (1986), 595–622.
4. J. I. Diaz and F. De Thelin, On a nonlinear parabolic problem arising in some models related to turbulent flows, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **25**, No. 4 (1994), 1085–1111.
5. E. DiBenedetto, “Degenerate Parabolic Equations,” Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.
6. A. Friedman and J. B. McLeod, Blow-up of positive solutions of semilinear heat equation, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **34** (1985), 425–447.
7. H. Fujita, On the blowing up of solutions of the Cauchy problem for $u_t = \Delta u + u^{1+\alpha}$, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I*, **13** (1966), 109–124.

8. H. Fujita, On some nonexistence and nonuniqueness theorems for nonlinear parabolic equations, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* **18** (1969), 105–113.
9. V. A. Galaktionov, Conditions for global nonexistence and localization for a class of nonlinear parabolic equations, *U.S.S.R. Comput. Math. Math. Phys.* **23** (1983), 35–44. [*Zh. Vychisl. Mat. i. Mat. Fiz.* **23** 1341–1354]
10. V. A. Galaktionov, Blow-up for quasilinear heat equations with critical Fujita's exponents, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh, Sect. A* **124** (1994), 517–525.
11. K. Hayakawa, On nonexistence of global solutions of some semilinear parabolic equations, *Proc. Japan Acad.* **49** (1973), 503–525.
12. M. A. Herrero and M. Pierre, The Cauchy problem for $u_t = \Delta u^m$ when $0 < m < 1$, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **291**, No. 1 (1985), 145–158.
13. K. Kobayashi, T. Siano, and H. Tanaka, On the blowing up problem for semilinear heat equations, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **29** (1977), 407–424.
14. H. A. Levine, The role of critical exponents in blowup theorems, *SIAM Rev.* **32** (1990), 262–288.
15. H. A. Levine and P. Meier, The value of the critical exponent for reaction–diffusion equations in cones, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **109** (1990), 73–80.
16. H. A. Levine, G. Lieberman, and P. Meier, On critical exponent for some quasilinear parabolic equations, *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.* **109** (1992), 73–80.
17. K. Mochizuki and K. Mukai, Existence and nonexistence of global solutions to fast diffusions with source, *Math. Appl. Anal.* **2** (1994), 92–102.
18. R. G. Pinsky, Existence and nonexistence of global solutions for $u_t = \Delta u + a(x)u^p$ in \mathbf{R}^d , *J. Differential Equations* **133** (1997), 152–177.
19. Y. W. Qi, On the equation $u_t = \Delta u^\alpha + u^\beta$, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh, Sect. A* **123** (1993), 373–390.
20. Y. W. Qi, Critical exponents of degenerate parabolic equations, *Sci. China, Ser. A* **38** (1995), 1153–1162.
21. Y. W. Qi, The degeneracy of a fast-diffusion equation and stability, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **27**, No. 2 (1996), 476–485.
22. Y. W. Qi, The global existence and nonuniqueness of a nonlinear degenerate equation, *Nonlinear Anal.* **31** (1998), 117–136.
23. Y. W. Qi, The critical exponents of parabolic equations and blow-up in \mathbf{R}^n , *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh, Sect. A* **128**, No. 1 (1998), 123–136.
24. Y. W. Qi and M. X. Wang, The global existence and finite time extinction of a quasilinear parabolic equation, *Adv. Differential Equations* **4** (1999), 731–753.
25. A. A. Samarskii, V. A. Galaktionov, S. P. Kurdyumov and A. P. Mikhailov, “Blow-Up in Quasilinear Parabolic Equations,” de Gruyter, Berlin/New York, 1995.
26. F. B. Weissler, Existence and nonexistence of global solutions for a semilinear heat equation, *Israel J. Math.* **38** (1981), 29–40.